UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,398	02/27/2004	Kathleen M. Miller	98-P0151US2	4925
27774 MAYER & WII	7590 10/26/200 LLIAMS PC	EXAMINER		
251 NORTH A	·	SWEET, THOMAS		
2ND FLOOR WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/789,398	MILLER ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
Thomas J. Sweet	3774	
	-	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 21 Aug	gust 2009.			
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference	will not be held for the following			
☐ The request does not inc	s not been filed concurrent with the Pre lude reasons why a review is appropri is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief re	ate.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or frequency the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.					
held. The application remains un- is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one montl running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pr der appeal because there is at least or rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. h from mailing this decision, or the bal- ptice of appeal, whichever is greater. F B7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail dat able.	ne actual issue for appeal. Applicant The time period for filing an appeal ance of the two-month time period urther, the time period for filing of the			
☐ The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 73-104. Claim(s) withdrawn from col		ws:			
	conference has been held. The reject ution on the merits remains closed. N				
	conference has been held. The rejection action is required by applicant at this t				
All participants:					
(1) Thomas J. Sweet.	(3) <u>David Isabe</u>	<u>lla</u> .			
(2) Eric Nicholson.	(4)				
/Thomas J Sweet/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3774	/DAVID ISABELLA/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3774	/Eric Nicholson/ RQAS - 3700			